AVT BUSINESS SCHOOL

RADICAL PRACTICALITY · PRACTICE 08

The Bureaucratic Mass Index Audit

Why the most important thing you don't measure is the tax your own organization levies on itself

WHITE PAPER — 25TH ANNIVERSARY PROGRAM

MARCH 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Tax You Don’t Know You’re Paying

Every organization measures what it values. Revenue, profit, customer satisfaction, employee engagement, market share — the dashboards are full. But almost no organization measures the tax that bureaucracy levies on all of these. The drag of unnecessary approvals, redundant reports, pointless meetings, and decision-making bottlenecks is invisible in the accounts, absent from the KPIs, and unmeasured in the annual review. It is, by a wide margin, the largest cost most organizations never quantify.

Gary Hamel and Michele Zanini set out to change that. Their Bureaucratic Mass Index (BMI) — a diagnostic tool surveying bureaucratic drag across seven categories — has been completed by over 7,000 respondents through Harvard Business Review. The findings are stark: the average respondent spends 28% of their time on bureaucratic chores. Two-thirds say bureaucracy is getting worse. Fewer than 1% of respondents work in organizations with a BMI score below 40, the threshold for a relative absence of bureaucracy. Hamel and Zanini estimate the cost of excess bureaucracy at more than $3 trillion in lost economic output per year in the United States alone — rising to nearly $9 trillion across the OECD.

The BMI is not a consulting engagement. It is a ten-question diagnostic that any team can run in thirty minutes. This white paper explains what it measures, what the data reveals, and how to use it to start dismantling the bureaucracy your organization pretends it doesn’t have.

CHAPTER 1

What Organizations Refuse to See

Every organization believes it is less bureaucratic than it actually is. This is not modesty or self-deception — it is a structural blind spot. Bureaucracy is invisible because it is everywhere. The meeting that exists because it has always existed. The approval that is rubber-stamped 95% of the time. The report that is produced every month and read by no one. The seven layers of management between the customer and the person who could solve the customer's problem. These are not aberrations. They are the operating system.

The Measurement Gap

Organizations measure financial performance, customer outcomes, and employee sentiment. They do not measure the cost of their own internal friction. There is no line item in the budget for "time spent on activities that add no value." There is no KPI for "decisions delayed by unnecessary escalation." There is no dashboard tracking the number of layers between a good idea and the authority to act on it.

This absence of measurement is not an accident. It is a defense mechanism. As long as bureaucracy remains unmeasured, it remains undiscussable. And as long as it remains undiscussable, it remains untouchable. The BMI is designed to break this silence.

> *Hamel and Zanini: "Upending management norms isn't easy. It takes courage, a dose of righteous indignation, and, perhaps most critical, data. People pay attention to things that can be measured. The first step in dismantling bureaucracy is to be honest about how much it's costing your organization."*

The Academic Evidence

The BMI is not the only tool documenting the cost of bureaucracy, though it is the most comprehensive. A 2021 meta-analysis by George, Pandey, Steijn, Decramer, and Audenaert, published in *Public Administration Review*, examined the relationship between red tape, organizational performance, and employee outcomes across dozens of studies. The finding: red tape has a consistent negative effect on organizational outcomes, and internal red tape — rules and procedures imposed by the organization on itself — is significantly more harmful than external regulation. The organization's own bureaucracy, in other words, does more damage than the government's.

CHAPTER 2

What the BMI Measures

The Bureaucratic Mass Index measures bureaucratic drag across seven categories. Each captures a distinct dimension of how organizations slow themselves down, suppress initiative, and waste the time and talent of the people inside them.

CATEGORY	WHAT IT MEASURES	WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE IN PRACTICE
Bloat	Too many managers, administrators, and management layers relative to the work being done.	Eight layers of management in a company of 5,000. More people coordinating work than doing it. A manager-to-worker ratio that has crept upward for decades.
Friction	Excessive approvals, handoffs, and sign-offs that slow every decision and process.	Twenty days to approve an unbudgeted expenditure. Three sign-offs for a travel reimbursement. An approval chain so long that by the time the answer arrives, the question has changed.
Insularity	Time and attention directed inward rather than outward toward customers and markets.	Executives spending nearly half their time on internal issues. Senior leaders — the people charged with creating strategy — less externally focused than their subordinates.
Disempowerment	Frontline employees lacking the authority to set priorities, choose methods, or act on opportunities.	Only 11% of respondents reporting substantial autonomy. Fewer than 10% of employees able to spend $1,000 without a manager's sign-off.
Risk Aversion	An institutional bias toward the safe and familiar, where new ideas are inherently suspect.	80% of respondents saying unconventional ideas met indifference, skepticism, or resistance. 96% in large companies saying it was "not easy" or "very difficult" for a frontline employee to launch a new initiative.
Inertia	The inability to change direction, even when the need is obvious.	Nearly 60% saying change programs were mostly focused on catching up rather than breaking new ground. Change imposed top-down, by the time leadership notices a problem, the organization is already on the back foot.
Politicking	Time and energy spent on internal positioning, blame avoidance, and managing up.	64% saying political skills "often" or "almost always" determine who gets ahead. In large organizations, 77%. Power going to the most politically skilled rather than the most competent.

The BMI aggregates responses across these seven categories into a single score on a 0–100 scale. A score below 40 indicates a relative absence of bureaucracy. A score above 60 indicates moderate-to-severe bureaucratic drag. The average score across the 7,000+ respondents in the HBR survey was approximately 65 — and for companies with more than 5,000 employees, it was 72.

CHAPTER 3

Ten Hard Facts from 7,000 Respondents

Hamel and Zanini published the BMI findings in Harvard Business Review as "10 Hard Facts About Bureaucracy." Each one is a data point that most organizations would prefer not to confront.

1. Bureaucracy is inescapable

64% of respondents reported a BMI above 70. Fewer than 1% scored below 40. The average for companies with more than 5,000 employees was 72. Large companies suffer from what Hamel calls "managerial diseconomies of scale" — the very structures designed to manage complexity become the primary source of it.

2. Bureaucracy is growing, not shrinking

Nearly two-thirds of respondents said their organization had become more bureaucratic over the preceding few years. Only 14% said it had become less. The people who feel most hamstrung are the ones most directly involved in creating customer value — those in sales, production, logistics, and R&D.

3. Organizations are not getting flatter

Despite decades of rhetoric about flat organizations, the average respondent works under more than six management layers. In large companies, frontline employees are buried under eight or more.

4. Bureaucracy is a time tax

Respondents spend an average of 28% of their time — more than one day per week — on bureaucratic chores: preparing reports, attending meetings, complying with internal requests, securing sign-offs, and interacting with staff functions. Fewer than 40% found these processes "very helpful." Nearly half said their ability to deliver value would be unaffected or enhanced by a 30% reduction in head-office staff.

5. Bureaucracy kills speed

Two-thirds say bureaucracy significantly drags on decision-making speed, rising to nearly 80% in large companies. The average time to approve an unbudgeted expenditure: 20 days or more in large organizations.

6. Bureaucracy produces parochialism

Respondents spend 42% of their time on internal issues — resolving disputes, wrangling resources, sorting out personnel matters, negotiating targets. Senior leaders, who are charged with setting strategy, spend nearly half their time on in-house matters and are significantly less externally focused than their subordinates.

7. Bureaucracy undermines empowerment

Only 11% of respondents said they had "substantial" or "complete" autonomy over priorities, methods, and leadership. Fewer than 10% of employees in the surveyed organizations could spend $1,000 without a sign-off. More than three-quarters said frontline employees were "never" or only "occasionally" involved in designing major change initiatives.

8. Bureaucracy frustrates innovation

80% said unconventional ideas met indifference, skepticism, or outright resistance. In companies with more than 1,000 employees, 96% said it was “not easy” or “very difficult” for a frontline employee to launch a new initiative.

9. Bureaucracy breeds inertia

Nearly 60% said change programs were “mostly” or “almost always” focused on catching up rather than breaking new ground. Fewer than 10% of respondents from large companies said change programs were focused on pioneering.

10. Bureaucracy is political

64% said political skills “often” or “almost always” influence who gets ahead. In large organizations: 77%. Power flows to the most politically skilled, not the most competent.

> *Bureaucracy is, quite literally, a tax on human accomplishment. The first step in dismantling it is making it visible. The BMI does that.*

CHAPTER 4

The $3 Trillion Question

Hamel and Zanini have estimated the cost of excess bureaucracy at more than $3 trillion in lost economic output per year in the United States. Across the 32 OECD countries, the figure rises to nearly $9 trillion. These are not costs of necessary management. They are costs of excess — the delta between the bureaucracy organizations need and the bureaucracy they actually have.

The estimate is derived from the BMI data: if the average knowledge worker spends 28% of their time on bureaucratic tasks, and a significant portion of that time creates little or no value, the aggregate cost is enormous. Even a conservative estimate — that half of the bureaucratic time is waste — implies that roughly 14% of the developed world's knowledge-work capacity is consumed by organizational friction that serves no customer, advances no strategy, and produces no outcome anyone would pay for.

At the team level, the math is even more striking. A team of ten people, each spending one day per week on bureaucratic chores, loses two full-time-equivalent employees per week — not to illness, not to turnover, but to the internal friction of their own organization. Those two FTEs are invisible because they are distributed across everyone's calendar. But the output they would have produced is real, and it is missing.

CHAPTER 5

Why Leaders Don’t See It

One of the most striking findings in the BMI research is the perception gap between senior leaders and frontline employees. Both groups agree that employees are capable of self-management. But they disagree sharply about what prevents it.

The Power Gap

Nearly two-thirds of frontline employees said that an unwillingness among executives to share power was a barrier to reducing bureaucracy. Only a third of CEOs agreed. Similarly, 54% of lower-level employees thought bureaucracy was hard to root out because it was “familiar and entrenched.” Only 20% of CEOs saw this as a barrier.

This is not a gap in data. It is a gap in experience. Senior executives navigate bureaucracy with authority — they can override it, delegate through it, or ignore it. Frontline employees cannot. They experience its full weight every day: the approvals that take three weeks, the meetings that produce no decisions, the reports that nobody reads, the ideas that die in the first layer of management.

The Comfort of Control

Bureaucracy persists in part because it serves the interests of those who benefit from it. Managers whose authority depends on being the bottleneck have little incentive to remove themselves from the decision chain. Staff functions whose headcount depends on the complexity of the processes they administer have little incentive to simplify. The hierarchy sustains itself not through malice but through structural self-interest — each layer justifying its existence by creating work that only it can approve.

The Normalization of Dysfunction

Perhaps the deepest barrier is cultural. Bureaucracy is so pervasive that it has been normalized. The monthly report that nobody reads is not seen as waste — it is seen as “governance.” The seven-layer approval chain is not seen as a bottleneck — it is seen as “oversight.” The 42% of time spent on internal matters is not seen as a failure — it is seen as “the cost of doing business.” The BMI disrupts this normalization by putting a number on it.

CHAPTER 6

How to Run a BMI Audit

Step 1: Take the Assessment

The BMI survey is available at humanocracy.com/BMI. It consists of questions across the seven categories described in Chapter 2. It takes approximately ten minutes to complete. Run it anonymously with your team — anonymity is critical, because honest answers require safety.

Step 2: Aggregate and Discuss

Collect the results and calculate the average score for each of the seven categories. Present the results to the team without attribution. The discussion that follows is the most valuable part of the exercise — not the numbers themselves, but what the numbers reveal about the lived experience of working in your organization.

Focus the discussion on two questions:

— **Which category scored highest?** This is your organization's primary bureaucratic drag. It is the area where the gap between necessary structure and unnecessary friction is widest.

— **What surprised you?** The most valuable insights often come from the categories where the team's perception differs from the data — where people believed the organization was less bureaucratic than the survey reveals.

Step 3: Pick One Category and Act

Do not try to fix everything. Pick the single highest-scoring category and commit to one concrete action to reduce it. If the highest score is friction: identify one approval process that could be eliminated or simplified. If it is disempowerment: identify one category of decision that could be delegated to the frontline. If it is inertia: identify one practice that should have been stopped years ago.

The action should be specific, time-bound, and measurable. Not "we will reduce bureaucracy" but "we will eliminate the weekly status report for 30 days and measure whether anyone notices its absence."

Step 4: Re-Measure

Run the BMI again after 90 days. Compare scores. Has the targeted category improved? Have other categories changed? The BMI is not a one-time exercise. It is a recurring diagnostic that tracks whether your organization is becoming more or less bureaucratic over time — a metric that almost no organization currently tracks but every organization should.

CHAPTER 7

The AVT Challenge

The Bureaucratic Mass Index Audit is Radical Practicality at its most diagnostic. It does not ask you to implement a framework, hire a consultant, or launch a transformation program. It asks you to answer ten questions honestly, look at the results, and act on the one that matters most.

The orthodoxy it challenges is perhaps the most self-serving in organizational life: "Our organization isn't really that bureaucratic." The BMI data says otherwise. The average score is 65. In large companies, it is 72. Two-thirds of respondents say it is getting worse. Twenty-eight percent of everyone's time is consumed by activities that fewer than 40% of people consider "very helpful." And the people who suffer most are the ones closest to the customer.

Our challenge to every AVT member:

1. Go to humanocracy.com/BMI. Take the assessment yourself. See where your organization scores.
2. Run it anonymously with your team. Protect the anonymity — honest data requires honest conditions.
3. Discuss the results openly. Focus on the highest-scoring category and the biggest surprises.
4. Pick one concrete action to reduce bureaucratic drag in the highest-scoring category. Make it specific. Make it time-bound. Try it for 30 days.
5. Re-measure after 90 days. Share what changed with the AVT community.

Organizations measure everything except the cost of their own internal friction. The BMI changes that. It takes ten minutes. It costs nothing. And it might be the most uncomfortable — and the most valuable — ten minutes your team spends this quarter.

REFERENCES

Key Sources

— George, B., Pandey, S.K., Steijn, B., Decramer, A., & Audenaert, M. (2021). Red Tape, Organizational Performance, and Employee Outcomes: Meta-analysis, Meta-regression, and Research Agenda. ***Public Administration Review,*** July–August.

— Hamel, G. (2018). 10 Hard Facts About Bureaucracy. Originally published on LinkedIn; republished by Global Leadership Network.

— Hamel, G. & Zanini, M. (2017). Assessment: Do You Know How Bureaucratic Your Organization Is? ***Harvard Business Review.***

— Hamel, G. & Zanini, M. (2017). What We Learned About Bureaucracy from 7,000 HBR Readers. ***Harvard Business Review.***

— Hamel, G. & Zanini, M. (2018). The End of Bureaucracy. ***Harvard Business Review,*** November–December.

— Hamel, G. & Zanini, M. (2020; updated 2025). ***Humanocracy: Creating Organizations as Amazing as the People Inside Them.*** Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.

— PwC Strategy&. (2016). The Bureaucracy Measurement Index: A Systematic Way for Companies to

Assess the Burden of Red Tape.

— Weber, M. (1922/1978). ***Economy and Society.*** Berkeley: University of California Press. (Foundational theory of bureaucratic organization.)

This white paper is part of AVT's 25th Anniversary Program: 25 Practices Nobody Uses (But Should). The Bureaucratic Mass Index Audit is Practice 08 because it addresses the foundational precondition for every other practice in this collection: you cannot dismantle what you have not measured, and you cannot measure what you refuse to see.