AVT BUSINESS SCHOOL

RADICAL PRACTICALITY · PRACTICE 07

The Silent Meeting

Why the best meetings begin with nobody talking

WHITE PAPER — 25TH ANNIVERSARY PROGRAM

MARCH 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Weirdest Meeting Culture That Works Better Than Yours

In 2004, Jeff Bezos sent an email to Amazon's senior leadership team that changed how the company makes decisions. He banned PowerPoint. In its place, he required anyone presenting an idea, a proposal, or a strategy to write it as a six-page narrative memo — with real sentences, real paragraphs, and real arguments. And then he did something even more radical: he required everyone to read it together, in silence, at the start of the meeting.

For twenty minutes to thirty minutes, a room full of Amazon's most senior executives sits in complete silence, reading and annotating a document. Only after everyone has finished does the discussion begin. Bezos has called this "the weirdest meeting culture you can imagine" and also "the smartest thing we ever did at Amazon."

The silent meeting solves three problems simultaneously. It forces clarity in thinking — you cannot hide a weak argument in a slide deck, but you cannot hide one in a well-written memo either; the writing process itself exposes logical gaps. It equalizes participation — introverts, junior staff, and non-native speakers all process the same information before anyone speaks. And it ensures that everyone starts from the same base of knowledge — eliminating the single most common failure in meetings: people improvising opinions on material they have not read.

This white paper explains the science and practice behind the silent meeting, why it works where traditional meetings fail, and how to try it in your next meeting.

CHAPTER 1

Why Most Meetings Fail

The problem with meetings is not that they exist. It is that they are almost universally conducted in a way that maximizes the appearance of collaboration while minimizing the quality of thinking.

The Preparation Myth

Most meetings are built on a polite fiction: that participants have read the pre-read. They have not. Asana's 2024 workplace research found that 53% of employees consider meetings a waste of time, and 61% say little gets accomplished. The primary reason is not bad facilitation or unclear agendas — it is that people arrive unprepared and then improvise their responses in real time.

The average professional spends approximately 392 hours per year in meetings — more than sixteen full workdays. Adding preparation time on top of that feels impossible. So people skip it. They skim the deck on the way to the conference room. They glance at the first two pages and make up the rest. And then they contribute opinions based on incomplete understanding, anchored by whoever speaks first.

Bezos understood this perfectly: "If we don't [read together in the meeting], executives, like high school students, will try to bluff their way through the meeting."

The PowerPoint Problem

PowerPoint is the default communication tool in corporate meetings. It is also, according to Bezos, one of the reasons meetings fail. In his 2004 email to the Amazon leadership team, he wrote:

> *"The reason writing a good four-page memo is harder than 'writing' a 20-page PowerPoint is because the narrative structure of a good memo forces better thought and better understanding of what's more important than what, and how things are related. PowerPoint-style presentations somehow give permission to gloss over ideas, flatten out any sense of relative importance, and ignore the interconnectedness of ideas."*

Slides are designed for the presenter, not the audience. They are easy to produce: a few bullet points, a chart, a diagram. But bullet points do not make arguments. They make assertions. A bullet point that says "Market opportunity: $2B" sounds compelling on a slide. In a memo, the same claim demands an explanation: where does the number come from? What assumptions does it rest on? Who disagrees? The writing forces the thinking that the slides allow you to skip.

The Dominance Problem

In a traditional meeting, the first person to speak anchors the discussion. Research in personality psychology consistently shows that extroverts dominate group conversations — not because their ideas are better, but because they process and vocalize faster. Introverts, who represent roughly half the

population, need time to reflect before contributing. In a fast-paced verbal discussion, their insights often go unheard.

Adam Grant's research at Wharton found a striking inverse relationship: when employees are proactive, introverted managers lead them to higher profits than extroverted ones. The introverted leaders listened more carefully, processed information more deeply, and were less likely to dominate the conversation with their own ideas. The traditional meeting format systematically suppresses exactly this kind of thoughtful leadership.

CHAPTER 2

The Amazon Model

Amazon's meeting culture has two components: the narrative memo and the silent reading period. Both are essential. Neither works without the other.

The Six-Page Memo

Every significant meeting at Amazon begins with a written document — not a slide deck, but a narrative memo of up to six pages. The memo must be "narratively structured with real sentences, topic sentences, verbs, and nouns." It is not a template with fill-in-the-blank sections. It is an essay that presents an argument.

The format forces three things that slides do not:

— **Logical coherence.** A narrative must flow from premise to argument to conclusion. Logical gaps that are invisible in bullet points become obvious in prose. If the author cannot connect the dots in writing, the thinking is not clear enough.

— **Proportionality.** In a slide deck, every bullet point looks equally important. In a memo, the writer must decide what deserves a paragraph and what deserves a sentence. The act of allocating space forces prioritization.

— **Interconnection.** Ideas in a memo must be linked. The author must explain how one point relates to another, how a risk connects to a strategy, how a cost enables a benefit. Slides allow ideas to sit next to each other without explaining the relationship between them.

Bezos has been candid about the effort required: "The great memos are written and rewritten, shared with colleagues who are asked to improve the work, set aside for a couple of days, and then edited again with a fresh mind. A great memo probably should take a week or more."

The Study Hall

The second component is the silent reading period at the start of the meeting. At Amazon, this typically lasts twenty to thirty minutes. Everyone reads the memo simultaneously, in silence, making notes in the margins. Only after everyone has finished does the discussion begin.

This design choice is deliberate. Bezos does not distribute the memo in advance because he knows people will not read it. By reading together in the room, he guarantees that every participant starts the discussion with the same level of understanding. The playing field is level. The discussion that follows is based on shared information rather than selective scanning.

The result, according to Bezos, is transformative: "It's supposed to create the context for what will then be a good discussion." Instead of the first twenty minutes being consumed by a presenter walking through slides while the most senior person interrupts with questions that will be answered on slide six, the discussion starts at a higher level — with questions, challenges, and insights that reflect genuine engagement with the material.

CHAPTER 3

Why Silence Works

The silent reading period is not a gimmick. It addresses several well-documented cognitive and social dynamics that undermine the quality of group decision making.

1. It Eliminates the Anchoring Effect

In a traditional meeting, the presenter sets the frame. Their emphasis, their choice of what to include and exclude, and their body language all anchor the group's thinking before the discussion even begins. When everyone reads independently, each person forms their own interpretation, their own questions, and their own priorities before hearing anyone else's. The diversity of perspectives in the room is preserved rather than flattened.

2. It Equalizes Participation

Silent reading gives every person the same amount of time to process the material, regardless of their processing speed, their seniority, or their personality type. Introverts are no longer at a disadvantage. Junior team members are no longer intimidated by the first senior voice. Non-native speakers have time to process complex material at their own pace. When the discussion begins, the quality of contributions is noticeably broader and deeper.

3. It Separates Comprehension from Reaction

In a slide-based meeting, comprehension and reaction happen simultaneously. You hear a point, form an opinion, and voice it — often before you have fully understood the context. Silent reading separates these processes. You understand first. You react second. The opinions formed after ten minutes of focused reading are qualitatively different from the opinions formed in the two seconds between one slide and the next.

4. It Raises the Bar for the Author

Perhaps the most important effect of the silent meeting is upstream: it raises the quality of the material presented. When an author knows that their document will be read carefully, in silence, by every person in the room — including the CEO — the stakes of writing well are much higher than when they know their slides will be skimmed while they talk over them. The discipline of the format produces better thinking before the meeting even begins.

> *Bezos: "There is no way to write a six-page, narratively structured memo and not have clear thinking."*

CHAPTER 4

Beyond Amazon: Who Else Does This

Amazon is the most famous practitioner of the silent meeting, but it is not the only one.

— **Jack Dorsey (Twitter and Square):** Dorsey adopted a similar practice, starting meetings with ten minutes of silent reading from a shared Google Doc. In 2018, he wrote: "Most of my meetings are now Google Doc-based, starting with 10 minutes of reading and commenting directly in the doc. This practice makes time for everyone to get on same page, allows us to work from many locations, and gets to truth and critical thinking faster."

— **LinkedIn:** Several executives at LinkedIn have adopted variations of the memo-first meeting, particularly for product and strategy reviews, citing improved discussion quality and faster decisions.

— **Stripe:** The payments company has a strong writing culture influenced by Amazon's approach, with documents used extensively for proposals and decisions rather than slide decks.

The pattern is consistent: organizations that adopt memo-based, silent-start meetings report that the quality of discussion improves, decisions are made faster, and the people who contribute most meaningfully are not always the ones who would have spoken first in a traditional format.

Why Most Organizations Resist

If the practice works so well, why is it so rare? Three reasons.

Writing is harder than presenting. A good memo takes a week. A slide deck takes an afternoon. Most organizations prefer the path of least resistance, even when it produces worse outcomes.

Silence feels awkward. Sitting in a room with colleagues in complete silence for ten to thirty minutes is genuinely uncomfortable the first time. It violates every norm of corporate sociability. Leaders who try it must be willing to push through the discomfort — their own and their team's.

It shifts power. In a slide-based meeting, the presenter controls the narrative. In a memo-based meeting, the reader controls the interpretation. This is a genuine power shift, and not every presenter welcomes it. The memo format is harder on the presenter and easier on the audience — which is exactly why it produces better outcomes.

CHAPTER 5

The Mistakes That Ruin Silent Meetings

Distributing the Memo in Advance

This sounds sensible. It is counterproductive. If the memo is sent before the meeting, some people will read it carefully, some will skim it, and some will not read it at all. The discussion that follows will be unequal — a conversation between those who prepared and those who didn't. The entire point of reading together is to guarantee equal preparation. Bezos was explicit about this: reading in the meeting is what makes it work.

Writing a Slide Deck and Calling It a Memo

A memo is not a document full of bullet points, charts, and sentence fragments. It is a narrative — complete sentences, complete paragraphs, complete arguments. If the "memo" reads like a slide deck without the slides, it will produce the same shallow thinking that slides produce. The discipline is in the writing.

Cutting the Reading Time Short

If the document is six pages, the reading time must be long enough for the slowest reader to finish comfortably. Rushing the reading undermines the entire exercise. People who have not finished reading will either stay silent (losing their contribution) or contribute based on partial understanding (reducing the quality of discussion). Protect the time.

Allowing Interruptions During the Reading

The silence must be real. No side conversations. No checking phones. No whispered questions. The first time someone breaks the silence, the social norm collapses. Enforce it gently but consistently.

Skipping the Discussion

The memo and the silent reading are not the meeting. They are the preparation for the meeting. The discussion that follows is where the value is created — and it should be structured, focused, and action-oriented. The best practice: after reading, go around the table and ask each person for their single most important question or concern before opening the floor.

CHAPTER 6

A Practical Guide to Starting

Week 1: Try It Once

Choose a meeting where a decision or proposal is on the table. Instead of preparing a slide deck, write a two-to-three-page memo that presents the proposal as a narrative: the context, the argument, the evidence, the risks, and the recommendation. At the start of the meeting, hand it out and say: "We're going to try something different. Please take ten minutes to read this in silence. Make notes in the margins. When everyone is done, we'll discuss."

The first time will feel awkward. The silence will be uncomfortable. Some people will finish early and fidget. That is normal. Push through it. When the discussion begins, notice the difference: the questions will be sharper, the contributions will be more substantive, and the conversation will start at a higher level than it would have with slides.

Week 2: Debrief and Iterate

After your first silent meeting, ask the team: What was different? Was the discussion better or worse than usual? Did the memo surface issues that slides would have hidden? The feedback will almost certainly be positive — with a caveat that writing the memo was harder than making slides. That is the point.

Month 1: Establish the Norm

Use the silent-start format for every meeting where a decision is being made or a proposal is being evaluated. Keep the memo length proportional to the decision: a one-page memo for a small decision, three pages for a significant one. Reserve the full six-page format for major strategic questions. The principle is consistent; the scale is flexible.

Month 2–3: Build a Writing Culture

The deepest impact of the silent meeting is not what happens in the room. It is what happens before the room — the discipline of writing clearly, thinking through arguments, and anticipating objections. Over time, the practice produces a team that thinks more rigorously, communicates more precisely, and wastes less time in meetings. As Bezos observed: the memo is not just a meeting tool. It is a thinking tool.

CHAPTER 7

The AVT Challenge

The silent meeting is Radical Practicality at its most counterintuitive. The orthodoxy says that good meetings are about lively discussion. The evidence says that the best discussions are the ones preceded by focused, silent reading — and that the best thinking is the thinking forced by the discipline of writing.

The practice costs nothing. It requires no technology, no training, and no permission. It requires only a willingness to write clearly, to sit in silence, and to trust that ten minutes of reading will produce a better discussion than ten minutes of presenting ever could.

Our challenge to every AVT member:

1. At your next meeting where a decision is needed, replace the slide deck with a two-to-three-page written memo.
2. At the start of the meeting, hand it out and ask everyone to read in silence for ten minutes.
3. After reading, go around the table: each person shares their single most important question or concern before opening the floor.
4. Compare the quality of the discussion to your usual meetings. Notice what changes.
5. Share one observation with the AVT community.

Jeff Bezos called this "the smartest thing we ever did at Amazon." It is also one of the simplest. The practice has been running for over twenty years at one of the most successful companies in history. It takes ten minutes. It changes the quality of every meeting that follows. And it begins with the most radical act available to any leader: asking a room full of people to stop talking and start reading.

REFERENCES

Key Sources

— Bariso, J. (2018). ***EQ Applied: The Real-World Guide to Emotional Intelligence.*** Borough Hall.

— Bariso, J. (2023). Jeff Bezos Says This Is Why He Banned PowerPoint at Amazon. ***Inc.***

— Bezos, J. (2004). Internal email to Amazon senior leadership team, June 9, 2004. (Banning PowerPoint and introducing the narrative memo.)

— Bezos, J. (2018). Annual Letter to Shareholders. Amazon.com, Inc.

— Bryar, C. & Carr, B. (2021). ***Working Backwards: Insights, Stories, and Secrets from Inside Amazon.*** New York: St. Martin's Press.

— Dorsey, J. (2018). Tweet on Google Doc-based meetings. Twitter, September 2018.

— Gallo, C. (2019). Jeff Bezos Banned PowerPoint in Meetings. His Replacement Is Brilliant. ***Inc.***

— Grant, A.M., Gino, F., & Hofmann, D.A. (2011). Reversing the Extraverted Leadership Advantage: The Role of Employee Proactivity. ***Academy of Management Journal,*** 54(3), 528–550.

(But Should). The silent meeting is Practice 07 because it addresses the root cause of the most universal failure in organizational life: meetings where people talk before they have thought, react before they have understood, and decide before they have read.